

TT seems that the only time Mr. Richard Ishmael of the MPCA comes out of his cocoon of complacency is when his members start kicking up their heels, as they have been doing with greater and greater frequency of late. One is by now getting a bit bored with the hopelessly puerile demonstrations, of solidarity with him and his union, earlers who have trod a path by him and his crew. I can recall the genuinely spontaneous demonstrations of oppost inclustry, a 'rival' union and that the United States

ition to his poorly bargained Pension Scheme of a few months ago. say that that is wrong. Mr. Ishmael, who plays the ferocious tiger in the newspapers and the simple question is over the radio, always sags and flops when the real challenge is the MPCA leadership put to him.

By Janet Jagan

members and determine their lect dues. views on his Scheme. challenge he scrupulously avoided. I notice now that I Ajodha Singh has also put with haughty laughter.

CHALLENGE

have to challenge a trade and collects so much in union union leader about testing his support with the members of his union, to whom, under normal circumstances, he owes his position of authority and responsibility. But one must never forget that the MPCA is rather unique in its own little way.

To begin with, it has the largest number of members on paper, but it also has the least support from its members.

Its President, Mr. Ishmael lost his deposit when he fought a Legislative seat in a suggest constituency in the 1943 elections, Its President again tensested a sext in the August sign emerican, again in a sugar constituency, but when he saw the handwriting on the diverpoint - he hastily withdrew, MPCA UNDEMOCRATIC

COMPANY SUPPORT

I put the challenge during under normal trade union conour discussions on the Sugar ditions is a good thing, in the Pension Scheme and dared hands of a company union, is him to go to the estates and a means of company support hold public meetings with his to build membership and col-

Ask any ten workers on a being members of the MPCA crade union world. or if they allow deductions which he is brushing aside ton by the management. The answer would not be hard to fird, and I can safely predict that fear of victimisation is the reason why the MPCA Of course, one should never shows such a high enrolment



Mrs. Janet Jagan

the check-off system, which about a new union in the sugar) militancy developed. Copyright © Nadira Jagan-Brancier 2009

changed democratically? The answer is clearly - no.

was challenged in 1957, it was list Power. quickly arranged that he be years, shamelessly violating the constitution which called for annual elections - which sugar estate if they agree to is common practice in the

the same challenge to him, because of fear of victimisa. As soon as his four years were up this year, it was again arranged for him to be, in a rigged set-up, cemented into office for a period of three years, again carefully avoiding annual elections and normal trade union practice.

TIP-OFF

for sugar in the U.S.A. We it has so long championed. know that he knows that the Majority Party was making BOVIET ALTERNATIVE the on that hand waggon.

in mind is the fact that democ nationally? It is time that ... I am not interested in me One also cannot forget that MPCA. Some people quarted workers are properly led and Dr. Jagan wants \$200m. Na

the Executive Committee of the British Carib

DR. JAGAN'S STORMY PLEA FOR U. S. AID

Washington, Oct. 24 - Dr. Cheddi Jagan, the Premier of British Guiana, has come here in search of economic aid, and his approach has made some people rather breathless. He sees the request for aid as a cest of basic principles and he old members of the National Press Club today. "It is not concept of democracy which is on trial but yours."

The approach is at least to the door of the United States Treasury have assumed can Dr. Jagan, who for good meabe sure defended Dr. Castro, commended the Governments of the satellite countries and rejected the charge that the When Ishmael's leadership Soviet Union is an imperial-

His main thesis was put as sealed into office for four 1 series of questions. The United States said that its oncerr was to foster and preerve demogracy and that the internal affairs of the countries it helped, did not cortern it. "What then happens when a people, by an admitedly genuine popular vote, opt for a socialist economic Will the United system? States respect this cision? Will she give aid and succour to preserve that democracy? Or will she withhold her aid at the very real risk of that democracy being overthrown by a dictatorial uprising based on the people's We can no longer be fooled poverty? Will the United by such antics of Ishmael as States Government give in to now calling for increased pressure groups . . . and so benefits to workers because of act as to preserve capitalism the higher price being paid by sacrificing the democracy

tren

official inquiries into the in- He left no possible doubt Lut creased prices being paid by that if the United States did T the U.S. He got the tip-off of match his high expecta- opin and immediately, anticipating ions, he would seek aid from Fed something militant from those he Soviet Union. Nor could con genuinely interested in the the Americans hope for any aga sugar workers, started to jump friendly understanding of Pre their problems if they did de- rece nor strate the genuneness of In it any wonder that the their democracy, "I mean to I trade union movement in B.G. | oursue a policy of active neu- ing Another vital point to keep cism, both locally and inter lake no orders from anyone Ac

> about £72m.) for the first our years, a new inconsider. ble sum for a country of lion shout half a million people. but he retweed to compremise won on what he regarded as basic also Witherstein, "Skenner on Tales" his same has to be equipmely ! nead much chaptering by